

PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING MINUTES TOWN OF LADY LAKE, FLORIDA

August 14, 2023

The Planning and Zoning Board meeting was held in the Town Hall Commission Chambers at 409 Fennell Blvd., Lady Lake, Florida. The meeting convened at 5:30 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER: Dorilyn Furch

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

Member	Present
Saunders	Yes
Galloway	Yes
Vincent	late
Furch	Yes

STAFF PRESENT:

Thad Carroll, Growth Management Director; Wendy Then, Senior Planner; Becky Higgins, Development Coordinator; Nancy Wilson, Town Clerk.

Attorney Sasha Garcia was also present.

A. NEW BUSINESS

1. Appointment of new Chairman

Chairman Regan had to resign from the Planning & Zoning Board to qualify in the upcoming Ward 4 Special Election, leaving a vacancy on the Board.

Member Galloway made a motion to nominate Dorilyn Furch for the new Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Board; Member Saunders seconded. Motion carried 3-0.

2. Approval of Minutes

Planning & Zoning meeting minutes – July 10, 2023

Member Galloway made a motion to approve the July 10, 2023, Planning and Zoning Board meeting minutes as presented; Member Saunders seconded the motion. Motion carried 3-0.

Member Vincent arrived at 5:36 pm.

The developer will also be responsible for the cost and installation of any required on-site and off-site infrastructure improvements necessitated by the impact of the project.

The proposed rezoning to Planned Commercial with specific uses is consistent with the Lady Lake Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Code. This change is also compatible with adjacent parcels zoned Light Commercial and Heavy Commercial to the east and Planned Commercial to the south. The owner proposes to provide enhanced landscaping to buffer the multi-family parcels located to the west.

The applicant has provided a trip generation comparative analysis which demonstrates that the proposed specific uses will generate less traffic than what would be allowed under a general commercial classification.

Member Saunders asked if there were any responses from the public hearing notices sent to those who live within 150 feet of the property. Ms. Then responded that there were no emails or phone calls and attributes that to the fact that adjacent properties are zoned commercial.

Member Saunders then asked about the alignment of the driveway as shown on the conceptual plan. Growth Management Director Carroll responded that concern about the placement of the driveway has been brought to the attention of the applicant and will be looked at in more detail at a later time.

Kevin White – 411 Bay Oak

Mr. White is curious about the retention pond next to the irrigation warehouse; he wants to ensure there is an adequate buffer between what is there now and what is going to be there. He does hear air compressors, etc. at night from the Collision Center. Also, the plan shows driveways that will not work. When going in/out of the current parking area on 27/441 it can be dangerous. Mr. White then asked about the contaminated dirt that was located on the current concrete parking lot. He also asked about drainage off the applicant's property that is running into his development's retention pond.

Mr. Carroll responded to Mr. White's questions. He said that once the conceptual plan is fully engineered, the retention ponds will be designed. There cannot be any water coming off the site than what existed prior to development. There is a retention pond around the corner that is for Mr. White's subdivision. On the applicant's property, the intent is to keep as many trees as possible to provide a buffer and some screening. Mr. Carroll said that Mr. White is correct about the contaminated dirt, but they did receive a letter of clearance and construction on the site is now permitted.

The intent is to repurpose the warehouse since it is in good condition but nothing on the conceptual plan is definite. What is proposed for the site are minimum traffic generators. Site

in moderate condition. The applicant is requesting the removal of the two moderate /viable historic trees.

The applicant proposes to retain one 42-inch Live Oak historic tree as well as another 69 protected trees, thus providing over 800 tree caliper inches to be preserved on-site.

The applicant stated that the 52-inch Live Oak tree is located at the southeasterly corner of the proposed Canopy “C” Structure while the 60-inch Live Oak tree would be touching the east elevation and drive aisle of the proposed Canopy “B” Structure. The other trees proposed to be removed on the site are not historic.

The applicant added that unfortunately, the trees are too large to relocate. A reasonable effort has been taken to preserve the trees due to the large building footprints; however, when considering risk and hazard, the trees cannot be preserved.

The historic trees significantly impact the right of the applicant to fully maximize the best use of the land possible pursuant to the Land Development Regulations. The applicant proposes to retain 33 percent of protected viable trees (69 Trees). The tree requirement for the project meets the land development code and still provides 33 percent of protected trees.

Developers of any non-residential or multi-family developments, requiring site plan approval are not required to submit an application for a clearing and tree permit but are required to submit a tree survey and landscape plans at the time of site plan submittal so that consideration may be given to the protection of native trees, historic trees, and vegetation.

Member Vincent said there are not many people in Lady Lake who have Recreational Vehicles so the facility would only be used by non-residents. He cannot see removing trees for such a use.

Ms. Then said a market analysis was conducted that indicated a need for such a facility.

Jason Hurley – Springstead Engineering

Mr. Hurley said the development is targeting upscale motorcoaches so it will not be your typical RV lot. Also, it is in a location that would attract Lady Lake customers. The project would not be proposed with this type of investment if the need was not found.

Member Saunders asked if any repair work would be allowed on the premises. Mr. Hurley answered that the facility could only be used for storage. Access is available 24/7 though an office will ultimately be built on the property that will only be open during regular business hours. The anticipated capacity is 104 spaces with 92 of those being covered.

Kevin White – 411 Bay Oak

Mr. White said that when this development was originally proposed he attended that meetings. Most of the concerns of those in his development have been addressed. The waste collection